arXiv:1410.5545v1 [math.OA] 21 Oct 2014

CONSTRUCTION OF EXPOSED INDECOMPOSABLE POSITIVE LINEAR MAPS BETWEEN MATRIX ALGEBRAS

KIL-CHAN HA AND SEUNG-HYEOK KYE

Abstract. We construct a large class of indecomposable positive linear maps from the 2×2 matrix algebra into the 4×4 matrix algebra, which generate exposed extreme rays of the convex cone of all positive maps. We show that extreme points of the dual faces for separable states arising from these maps are parametrized by the Riemann sphere, and the convex hulls of the extreme points arising from a circle parallel to the equator have the exactly same properties with the convex hull of the trigonometric moment curve studied from combinatorial topology. Any interior points of the dual faces are $2\otimes 4$ boundary separable states with full ranks. We exhibit concrete examples of such states.

1. Introduction

Positive linear maps between matrix algebras are now crucial tools in the theory of quantum information theory, as entanglement witnesses to distinguish entanglement from separability. We recall that $\varrho \in M_m \otimes M_n$ is separable if it belongs to $M_m^+ \otimes M_n^+$, where M_m^+ denotes the cone of all positive semi-definite matrices in M_m. A state in $(M_m \otimes M_n)^+$ is called entangled if it is not separable. We denote by $\mathbb{S}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ the convex sets of all separable states and states with positive partial transposes, respectively. Even though the PPT criterion [3, 21], stating $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$, is quite strong for distinguishing entanglement, we need to construct indecomposable positive maps between matrix algebras in order to detect PPT entangled states, by the duality between positive maps and separable states [7, 14, 26].

If we want to detect a set of PPT entangled states with a non-empty interior in $\mathbb{T}$, we need to construct an indecomposable positive map which has the bi-spanning property. See [10]. Furthermore, every interior point ϱ of the dual face of $\mathbb{S}$ given by a positive map with the bi-spanning property is again an interior point of the convex set $\mathbb{T}$, and so both ϱ and its partial transpose ϱ^Γ have the full ranks. In this context, it is important to note that indecomposable exposed positive maps must have the bi-spanning properties. See Chapter 8 of [17]. We say that a positive map is exposed (respectively extremal) if it generates an exposed (respectively extreme) ray of the convex cone of all positive maps. It should be noted that extremity of an indecomposable positive map is not sufficient for the bi-spanning property.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81P15, 15A30, 46L05.

Key words and phrases. exposed indecomposable positive maps, bi-spanning property, separable states, boundary, full ranks.

KCH and SHK were partially supported by NRFK grant 2014-010499 and NRFK grant 2013-004942 respectively.

In spite of its importance, concrete examples of exposed indecomposable positive maps are very rare in the literature. In the $3 \otimes 3$ case, some variants [2] of the Choi map [4] were proved to be exposed in [11]. On the other hand, it was shown [6] that the Robertson's map [22, 23] between M_4 is also exposed. Some variants in higher dimensional cases have been also constructed in [24]. In the $2 \otimes 4$ case, there is a single concrete example given by Woronowicz [28] in the context of non-extendible positive maps. In this $2 \otimes 4$ case, even examples of just indecomposable positive maps are very rare except those in [25], so far the authors know.

This note is an outcome of the author's efforts to understand the above-mentioned example by Woronowicz. Motivated by this single example, we construct the following linear map $\Phi[a,b,c,d]$ from M_2 into M_4 which sends $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ to

$$\begin{pmatrix} hx - cd(y+z) + kw & -gx + gz & 0 & 0 \\ -gx + gy & ax & z & 0 \\ 0 & y & bw & -cz - dw \\ 0 & 0 & -cy - dw & ex + fw \end{pmatrix},$$

for given positive numbers a, b, c and d with $ab > 1$, where positive numbers e, f, g, h and k are determined by the relations

$$(1) \qquad (ab-1)\begin{pmatrix} e \\ f \end{pmatrix} = a(c+d)\begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^2 = acd, \quad h = be - c^2, \quad k = bf - d^2.$$

If $(a,b,c,d) = (2,2,2,1)$, then we get the example of Woronowicz, where $4d$ should be replaced by $3d$ in the $(1,1)$-entry. Throughout this note, we denote just by Φ for the map $\Phi[a,b,c,d]$.

After we briefly summarize known facts on exposedness and bi-spanning property of a positive map in the next section, we show in Section 3 that the map $\Phi[a,b,c,d]$ is an exposed indecomposable positive linear map. It turns out that extreme points of the dual face $\{\Phi\}'$ in $\mathbb{S}$ are parametrized by the Riemann sphere. By the abuse of notation, we just denote by $\{\Phi\}'$ for the face $\{\Phi\}' \cap \mathbb{S}$ of the convex set $\mathbb{S}$. In Section 4, we show that circles which are parallel to the equator in the Riemann sphere behave in the exactly same way as the trigonometric moment curve [8]

$$\theta \mapsto (e^{i\theta}, e^{2i\theta}, e^{3i\theta}, e^{4i\theta}) \in \mathbb{C}^4 = \mathbb{R}^8.$$

The convex hulls of extreme points arising from the horizontal circles turn out to be faces of $\{\Phi\}'$. In Section 5, we show that if we take interior points of two different faces among those then their convex sums must be boundary separable states with full ranks. This gives an answer to the problem raised in [1] in the $2 \otimes 4$ case.

2. Exposed positive maps and bi-spanning property

For a linear map $\phi : M_m \to M_n$, we associate the Choi matrix C_ϕ by

$$C_\phi = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} e_{i,j} \otimes \phi(e_{i,j}) \in M_m \otimes M_n,$$

where $\{e_{i,j}\}$ denotes the usual matrix units of M_m. For $\varrho \in M_m \otimes M_n$, we define the bi-linear pairing

$$\langle \varrho, \phi \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}\,(\varrho C_\phi^{\mathrm{t}}),$$

where C_ϕ^{t} denotes the usual transpose of C_ϕ. If ϱ is a pure product state $|z\rangle\langle z|$ with $|z\rangle = |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$, then we have

$$\langle |z\rangle\langle z|, \phi \rangle = \langle z|C_\phi^{\mathrm{t}}|z\rangle = \langle y|\phi(|\bar{x}\rangle\langle\bar{x}|)|y\rangle.$$

The duality tells us that ϱ is separable if and only if $\langle \varrho, \phi \rangle \geq 0$ for every positive map ϕ. For a set P of positive maps, we define the set P' by

$$P' = \{\varrho \in \mathbb{S} : \langle \varrho, \phi \rangle = 0 \text{ for every } \phi \in P\},$$

which gives rise to an exposed face of the convex set $\mathbb{S}$. It is not known that if every face of $\mathbb{S}$ is exposed. For a subset $S \subset \mathbb{S}$, the dual face S' is defined similarly. It turns out that ϕ is exposed if and only if $\{\phi\}''$ is the nonnegative scalar multiples of ϕ. If ϕ is an exposed then $\{\phi\}'$ is a maximal face of $\mathbb{S}$, and every maximal face of $\mathbb{S}$ is given by $\{\phi\}'$ for a unique exposed map ϕ. See Chapter 5 of [17] for details.

It is not so easy to show that a given positive map is exposed by definition. It was shown in [20] that the completely positive map $X \mapsto V^*XV$ is an exposed positive map. Woronowicz [29] showed that a unital irreducible positive map ϕ is exposed if the following condition

$$\dim \mathrm{span}\,\{a \otimes h \in M_m^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n : \phi(a)h = 0\} = n \times (m^2 - 1) \tag{2}$$

holds. This result has been extended [5] for non-unital positive maps. Especially, it was shown that every irreducible positive map satisfying the condition (2) is exposed whenever $\phi(I)$ is nonsingular. Recall that a positive map ϕ is said to be irreducible if $[\phi(A), X] = 0$ for every $A \in M_m$ implies that X is a scalar multiple of the identity. We will use this result to show that the positive map $\Phi[a, b, c, d]$ is exposed.

For a positive map $\phi : M_m \to M_n$, we consider the set of product vectors

$$P[\phi] = \{|z\rangle = |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n : \langle |z\rangle\langle z|, \phi \rangle = 0\}.$$

It turns out that $\{\phi\}''$ has no completely positive map if and only if the set $P[\phi]$ spans the whole space $\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. We say that ϕ has the spanning property [19] if ϕ satisfies these conditions. We also say that ϕ has the bi-spanning property if both ϕ and $\phi \circ \mathrm{t}$ have the spanning property, where t denotes the transpose map. It turns out that the set

$$\{\varrho \in \mathbb{T} : \langle \varrho, \phi \rangle < 0\}$$

of PPT entangled states detected by ϕ has a nonempty interior of $\mathbb{T}$ if and only if the interior of $\{\phi\}'$ lies on the interior of $\mathbb{T}$ if and only if ϕ has the bi-spanning property. Further, every exposed indecomposable positive map has the bi-spanning property. See Chapter 8 of [17] for details. It should be noted that the Choi map [4] has not the bi-spanning property even though it generates [9] an extreme ray of the cone of positive maps. See [10].

We note that a PPT state ϱ is an interior point of $\mathbb{T}$ if and only if both ϱ and its partial transpose ϱ^Γ have full ranks. See Chapter 6 of [17]. Therefore, if we take a positive map ϕ with the bi-spanning property and take an interior point ϱ of the dual face $\{\phi\}'$ then ϱ must be a boundary separable state, that is, ϱ is a boundary point of $\mathbb{S}$. Furthermore, both ϱ and ϱ^Γ have full ranks.

3. Exposed positive maps

For each complex number α, we consider the rank one matrix

$$P_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{\alpha} \\ \alpha & |\alpha|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

onto the vector $(1, \alpha)^{\mathrm{t}} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and consider the determinant $\Delta_i(\alpha)$ of right-below $i \times i$ submatrix of $\Phi(P_\alpha)$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_1(\alpha) &= e + f|\alpha|^2, \\
\Delta_2(\alpha) &= |\alpha|^2 \left[h - cd(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) + k|\alpha|^2 \right], \\
\Delta_3(\alpha) &= acd|\alpha|^2|1 - \alpha|^2, \\
\Delta_4(\alpha) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By the choices of e, f, g, h and k in (1), it is easy to see that

$$\Delta_1(\alpha) > 0, \qquad \Delta_2(\alpha) > 0, \qquad \Delta_3(\alpha) > 0, \qquad \Delta_4(\alpha) = 0$$

for any complex numbers $\alpha \neq 0, 1$. Therefore, we see that $\Phi(P_\alpha)$ is positive, that is, positive semi-definite for $\alpha \neq 0, 1$. For $\alpha = 0, 1$, we have

$$\Phi(P_0) = \begin{pmatrix} h & -g & 0 & 0 \\ -g & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\Phi(P_1) = \begin{pmatrix} h - 2cd + k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b & -c - d \\ 0 & 0 & -c - d & e + f \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\alpha = \infty$, we also denote by P_∞ the projection onto the vector $|x_\infty\rangle := (0,1)^{\mathrm{t}} \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Then we also have

$$\Phi(P_\infty) = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & -d \\ 0 & 0 & -d & f \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear by the above discussion that the 4×4 matrix $\Phi(P_\alpha)$ is a positive matrix with rank three for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Therefore, we see that the map $\Phi[a,b,c,d]$ is positive. We also see that the kernel space of $\Phi(P_\alpha)$ is spanned by $|y_\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^4$ defined by

$$|y_\alpha\rangle = \Big(g\alpha(1-\alpha),\ \alpha\left[h - cd(\alpha+\bar\alpha) + k|\alpha|^2\right], -e - f|\alpha|^2,\ -\bar\alpha(c+d\alpha)\Big)^{\mathrm{t}} \in \mathbb{C}^4, \tag{3}$$

and the kernel of $\Phi(P_\infty)$ is spanned by $|y_\infty\rangle = (0,1,0,0)^{\mathrm{t}}$.

It is straightforward to see that the image of the identity under Φ is nonsingular and Φ is irreducible. We skip the proof. In order to show that Φ satisfies the condition (2), we consider more general situations. For complex functions $f_1, \dots, f_n$, we consider the vector

$$(f_1(\alpha), f_2(\alpha), \dots, f_n(\alpha)) \in \mathbb{C}^n. \tag{4}$$

Then it is clear that the span of these vectors through $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ has the same dimension as the vector space spanned by functions $f_1, \dots, f_n$. The following must be well-known. We include here a simple proof for the convenience of readers.

Lemma 3.1. *The set $\{\alpha^k \bar\alpha^\ell : k, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of monomials is linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $P(\alpha)$ be a linear combination of finitely many monomials. Then $P(t\alpha)$ is a polynomial in t whose coefficients are homogeneous polynomials in α and $\bar\alpha$. Therefore, it suffices to show that homogeneous polynomials are linearly independent. This can be seen by the identity theorem of analytic functions on the unit circle after multiplying α^n to all of homogeneous polynomials of degree n.□

In order to find the dimension of the span of the vectors in (4) through $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, it suffices to consider the rank of the 'coefficient matrix'. For example, the coefficient matrix for $|y_\alpha\rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cdot & g & \cdot & -g & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots \\ \cdot & h & \cdot & -cd & -cd & \cdot & \cdot & k & \cdot & \cdots \\ -e & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -f & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots \\ \cdot & \cdot & -c & \cdot & -d & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to monomials $1, \alpha, \bar\alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha\bar\alpha, \bar\alpha^2, \alpha^3, \alpha^2\bar\alpha, \dots$. This is of rank 4, which means that the set $\{|y_\alpha\rangle : \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$ spans the whole space $\mathbb{C}^4$. The entries of the vector

$$P_\alpha \otimes |y_\alpha\rangle = (1, \alpha, \bar\alpha, \alpha\bar\alpha)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes |y_\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{16}$$

are linear combinations of the following 12 monomials

$$1,\ \alpha,\ \bar\alpha,\ \alpha^2,\ \alpha\bar\alpha,\ \bar\alpha^2,\ \alpha^3,\ \alpha^2\bar\alpha,\ \alpha\bar\alpha^2,\ \alpha^3\bar\alpha,\ \alpha^2\bar\alpha^2,\ \alpha^3\bar\alpha^2.$$

It is easily checked that the coefficient matrix is of rank 12. Therefore, we have the following:

Theorem 3.2. *For positive real numbers a, b, c and d with $ab > 1$, the map $\Phi[a, b, c, d]$ is an exposed indecomposable positive linear map from M_2 into M_4.*

Proof. It remains to show that the map Φ is indecomposable. If it is decomposable, then it must be of the form

$$X \mapsto V^*XV, \qquad \text{or} \qquad X \mapsto V^*X^{\mathrm{t}}V,$$

since it is exposed. Therefore, the Choi matrix (respectively the partial transpose of Choi matrix) must be of rank one in the former case (respectively the latter case). But, this is not the case for the map Φ. □

4. Faces for separable states

Now, we see that the dual face $\{\Phi\}'$ of Φ is a maximal face of the convex set $\mathbb{S}$ whose interior is contained in the interior of $\mathbb{T}$, and any interior points of $\{\Phi\}'$ are boundary separable states with full ranks. To get concrete examples, we look for specific faces of $\{\Phi\}'$.

First of all, extreme points of the face $\{\Phi\}'$ are parametrized by the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$: These are pure product states given by pure product vectors

$$|z_\alpha\rangle = |x_\alpha\rangle \otimes |y_\alpha\rangle, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\},$$

where $|x_\alpha\rangle = (1, \bar{\alpha})^{\mathrm{t}}$, $|x_\infty\rangle = (0, 1)^{\mathrm{t}} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and $|y_\alpha\rangle$ is given by (3). For a fixed positive number $r > 0$, we consider the product vectors which come from the circle C_r centered at the origin with radius r:

$$P_r = \{|z_\alpha\rangle : |\alpha| = r\}.$$

This represents extreme points of $\{\Phi\}'$ arising from the horizontal circle of the Riemann sphere. We also denote by P_r^Γ the set of partial conjugates $|\bar{x}_\alpha\rangle \otimes |y_\alpha\rangle$'s of product vectors $|x_\alpha\rangle \otimes |y_\alpha\rangle$ in P_r.

Lemma 4.1. *For a fixed $r > 0$, any four mutually distinct vectors $|y_\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^4$ with $|\alpha| = r$ are linearly independent.*

Proof. We take $\alpha_k = re^{i\theta_k}$ for real numbers θ_k with $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and denote by M the 4×4 matrix whose k-row is the transpose of $|y_\alpha\rangle$. Then we have

$$\det(M) = Ke^{i\frac{1}{2}(\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3+\theta_4)} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le 4} \sin\Big(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_j}{2}\Big)$$

with

$$K = \frac{64ac\sqrt{acd}\, r^4(c+d)(c+d\,r^2)\big(c(c+d) + d(abc+d)r^2\big)}{(ab-1)^2}.$$

Therefore, $|y_{\alpha_1}\rangle, |y_{\alpha_2}\rangle, |y_{\alpha_3}\rangle, |y_{\alpha_4}\rangle$ are linearly independent for distinct α_k's. □

By Proposition 3.1 in [13], we see that the convex hull of $|z\rangle\langle z|$'s with four mutually distinct product vectors $|z\rangle \in P_r$ is a face of $\{\Phi\}'$ which is affinely isomorphic to the 3-dimensional simplex with four extreme points. We also note that any five mutually distinct product vectors from P_r are linearly independent by Theorem 3.2 [13]. Note that all the entries of product vectors in P_r are expressed by polynomials in terms of $\bar{\alpha}^2, \bar{\alpha}, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2$, and so we see that the span of P_r is a 5-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$. In the case of P_r^Γ, the entries are given by polynomials in $\bar{\alpha}, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3$, and so the same is true.

We denote by $\mathbb{F}_r$ the convex hull of pure product states $|z\rangle\langle z|$ with $|z\rangle \in P_r$. It is well known that every face of the convex set $\mathbb{T}$ is of the form

$$\tau(D, E) = \{\varrho \in \mathbb{T} : \mathcal{R}\varrho \subset D, \mathcal{R}\varrho^\Gamma \subset E\}$$

for a given pair (D, E) of subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. See Section 6 of [17]. The next result shows that the convex set $\mathbb{F}_r$ is a face of $\{\Phi\}' \subset \mathbb{S}$.

Theorem 4.2. *The convex set $\mathbb{F}_r$ coincides with $\tau(\operatorname{span} P_r, \operatorname{span} P_r^\Gamma)$.*

Proof. We first note that both $\operatorname{span} P_r$ and $\operatorname{span} P_r^\Gamma$ are of 5-dimensional. If $\varrho \in \mathbb{T}$ is a boundary point of $\tau(\operatorname{span} P_r, \operatorname{span} P_r^\Gamma)$ then either $\operatorname{rank} \varrho \le 4$ or $\operatorname{rank} \varrho^\Gamma \le 4$. If this is supported in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ then it is separable by [15, 27], and if it is supported in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ then it is also separable by [16]. Therefore, we see that every PPT state in $\tau(\operatorname{span} P_r, \operatorname{span} P_r^\Gamma)$ is separable.

It remains to show that every extreme point in $\tau(\operatorname{span} P_r, \operatorname{span} P_r^\Gamma)$ arises from a product vector in P_r. It suffices to show the following: If a product vector $|w\rangle = (p_1, p_2)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)^{\mathrm{t}}$ belongs to $\operatorname{span} P_r$ and its partial conjugate $|w\rangle^\Gamma$ belongs to $\operatorname{span} P_r^\Gamma$, then $|w\rangle = |z_\alpha\rangle$ for $\alpha \in P_r$ up to scalar multiplication. To do this, we search for a basis of the orthogonal complements of $\operatorname{span} P_r$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\zeta_1^r\rangle &= \Big(0,\, 0,\, \frac{dr^2}{c},\, -\frac{e+fr^2}{c},\, 0,\, 0,\, 1,\, 0\Big)^{\mathrm{t}},\\
|\zeta_2^r\rangle &= \Big(\frac{c^2d^2r^2}{gu},\, -\frac{cdr^2}{u},\, -\frac{r^2\big[c^2u - b(e+fr^2)u - c^2d^2r^2\big]}{(e+fr^2)u},\, -dr^2,\, 0,\, 1,\, 0,\, 0\Big)^{\mathrm{t}},\\
|\zeta_3^r\rangle &= \Big(\frac{cdr^2}{u},\, -\frac{gr^2}{u},\, \frac{gr^2(u+cdr^2)}{(e+fr^2)u},\, 0,\, 1,\, 0,\, 0,\, 0\Big)^{\mathrm{t}}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

with $u := c^2 + cd + d^2r^2 - b(e+fr^2) \neq 0$. We also see that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta_1^r\rangle &= \Big(\frac{cd^2r^2}{gu},\, -\frac{dr^2}{u},\, -\frac{cr^2(u-d^2r^2)}{(e+fr^2)u},\, 0,\, 0,\, 0,\, 0,\, 1\Big)^{\mathrm{t}},\\
|\eta_2^r\rangle &= \Big(\frac{cd(e+fr^2)}{gu},\, -\frac{e+fr^2}{u},\, \frac{cdr^2}{u},\, 0,\, 0,\, 0,\, 1,\, 0\Big)^{\mathrm{t}},\\
|\eta_3^r\rangle &= \Big(-\frac{u^2 - ucd - c^2d^2r^2}{u},\, -\frac{u+cdr^2}{u},\, \frac{cdr^2(u+cdr^2)}{(e+fr^2)u},\, 0,\, -\frac{cd}{g},\, 1,\, 0,\, 0\Big)^{\mathrm{t}},
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

span the orthogonal complement of P_r^Γ. It remains to solve the equation

$$\langle \zeta_i^r | w \rangle = 0, \qquad \langle \eta_i^r | w^\Gamma \rangle = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

and one can show that any solution $|w\rangle$ is a scalar multiple of a product vector in P_r by a straightforward calculation. □

We interpret the results in a geometric way. First of all, the convex set $\mathbb{F}_r$ is of 8 affine dimensional. In fact, entries of the pure product state $|z_\alpha\rangle\langle z_\alpha| \in M_8$ is the linear combinations of $\bar{\alpha}^k$ and α^k with $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, whenever $|\alpha| = r$. Furthermore, we see that any distinct 9 choices of α's with $|\alpha| = r$ give rise to linearly independent pure product states by Proposition 3.3 [13]. The extreme points of the face $\mathbb{F}_r$ are parametrized by the circle. If we take any five extreme points then their convex combination with positive coefficients is an interior point of $\mathbb{F}_r$. If we take any k extreme points with $k \leq 4$ then their convex hull is a nontrivial face of $\mathbb{F}_r$, and every face of $\mathbb{F}_r$ arises in this way. Therefore, the circle consisting of extreme points behaves in the exactly same way as the trigonometric moment curve. See [18]. We may consider the circle of the Riemann sphere which are perpendicular to the equator, and get the exactly same results. We suspect the same is true for any circles in the Riemann sphere.

5. Boundary separable states with full ranks

In this section, we consider two faces $\mathbb{F}_r$ and $\mathbb{F}_s$ for $r \neq s$. First of all, these two faces have no intersection, because they are faces of a single convex set $\{\Phi\}'$ and have no common extreme points.

Proposition 5.1. *For $r \neq s$, the intersection of the* span P_r *and* span P_s *is of 2-dimensional subspace generated by the following two product vectors*

$$\zeta_4 := (0,\, 1)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes (0,\, 0,\, 0,\, 1)^{\mathrm{t}}, \quad \zeta_5 := (1,\, 0)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes (g,\, cd,\, 0,\, 0)^{\mathrm{t}}.$$

On the other hand, span $P_r^\Gamma \cap$ span P_s^Γ *is spanned by*

$$\eta_4 := (0,\, 1)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes (g,\, cd,\, 0,\, 0)^{\mathrm{t}}, \quad \eta_5 := (1,\, 0)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes (0,\, 0,\, 0,\, 1)^{\mathrm{t}}.$$

Proof. We note that ζ_4 and ζ_5 are orthogonal to the span of ζ_i^r for any $r > 0$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$. It is straightforward to see that the following eight vectors

$$\zeta_1^r,\ \zeta_2^r,\ \zeta_3^r,\ \zeta_1^s,\ \zeta_2^s,\ \zeta_3^s,\ \zeta_4,\ \zeta_5$$

are linearly independent whenever $r \neq s$. The exactly same thing holds for the intersection span P_r^Γ and span P_s^Γ. □

Therefore, we see that the sum of span P_r and span P_s must be the full space $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$, whenever $r \neq s$. We take an interior point ϱ_r of $\mathbb{F}_r$ and an interior point ϱ_s of $\mathbb{F}_s$ then

$$\varrho = \lambda \varrho_r + (1 - \lambda)\varrho_s$$

has full rank whenever $0 < \lambda < 1$, and the same is true for its partial transpose ϱ^Γ. Therefore, ϱ is a boundary separable state with full ranks. More precisely, if we

take five points from each of the circles C_r and C_s then the convex combination of the corresponding ten pure product states with nonzero coefficients is a boundary separable state with full ranks. We can go further to take four points from each of the circles C_r and C_s to get the separable states with the same properties. These give us boundary separable states with full ranks whose lengths coincide with the ranks. We recall that the length of a point x of a convex set is given by the minimum number of extreme points of which x is a convex combination.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $|z_{\alpha_i}\rangle$ (respectively $|z_{\beta_i}\rangle$) be any four mutually distinct vectors from P_r (respectively P_s) with $r \neq s$. Then these eight vectors are linearly independent if and only if the condition holds:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \arg(\alpha_i) \neq \sum_{i=1}^{4} \arg(\beta_i) \mod 2\pi. \tag{7}$$

The same is true for P_r^Γ and P_s^Γ with $r \neq s$.

Proof. Denote by θ_i (respectively τ_i) the argument of α_i (respectively, β_i) for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Let Q_r and Q_s be the subspace spanned by $\{|z_{\alpha_1}\rangle, |z_{\alpha_2}\rangle, |z_{\alpha_3}\rangle, |z_{\alpha_4}\rangle\}$ and $\{|z_{\beta_1}\rangle, |z_{\beta_2}\rangle, |z_{\beta_3}\rangle, |z_{\beta_4}\rangle\}$, respectively. Then the orthogonal complement of Q_r is of 4-dimensional subspace generated by $|\zeta_1^r\rangle$, $|\zeta_2^r\rangle$, $|\zeta_3^r\rangle$ in (5) together with the vector $|\zeta_6^r\rangle$ defined by

$$\Big(\frac{c\Theta_4\big[u + cd(\Theta_1 r - 1)\big]}{gu}, -\frac{c\Theta_4(\Theta_1 r - 1)}{u}, \frac{r^2\Theta_5}{c(e + fr^2)u}, -\frac{r(c\Theta_1 + dr)}{c}, 0, 0, 0, 1\Big),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_1 &:= \sum_{1\le j\le 4} e^{-i\theta_j}, & \Theta_2 &:= \sum_{1\le j<k\le 4} e^{-i(\theta_j+\theta_k)},\\
\Theta_3 &:= \sum_{1\le j<k<\ell\le 4} e^{-i(\theta_j+\theta_k+\theta_\ell)}, & \Theta_4 &:= e^{-i(\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3+\theta_4)},\\
\Theta_5 &:= \big(c^3dr\Theta_1 + c^2u\Theta_2 + cdru\Theta_3\big)\Theta_4 - c^3d\Theta_4 + d^2r^2u.
\end{aligned}$$

If $|w\rangle$ belong to $Q_r \cap Q_s$, then $|w\rangle$ should be orthogonal to all of the vectors $|\zeta_i^r\rangle$ and $|\zeta_i^s\rangle$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 6$.

If $|w\rangle$ belongs to the orthogonal complement of the span of $\{|\zeta_i^r\rangle, |\zeta_i^s\rangle : i = 1, 2, 3\}$, then $|w\rangle$ is of the form

$$\gamma_1\,(0,\,0,\,0,\,0,\,0,\,0,\,0,\,1)^{\mathrm{t}} + \gamma_2\,(g,\,cd,\,0,\,0,\,0,\,0,\,0,\,0)$$

for some $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ by Proposition 5.1. We solve the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\zeta_6^r|w\rangle &= \gamma_1 + ce^{i(\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3+\theta_4)}\gamma_2 = 0,\\
\langle\zeta_6^s|w\rangle &= \gamma_1 + ce^{i(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau_4)}\gamma_2 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

to conclude that $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$ if and only if the condition (7) holds. The statement for P_r^Γ and P_s^Γ can be proved similarly. □

If we take four complex numbers from each of the different circles C_r and C_s with the condition (7) then the convex combination of their corresponding pure product states with nonzero coefficients is a boundary separable state with full ranks. If we take four complex numbers from a circle and five from another circle then we have the same kinds of separable states.

In the remainder of this note, we also consider the circles L^θ which are perpendicular to the equator. They also have the same properties as the family of circles $\{C_r : r > 0\}$. We just state the results here. They are lines $\arg\alpha = \theta$ in the complex plane through the origin together with the point ∞ in the Riemann sphere, for a given θ. We denote by

$$P^\theta := \{|z_\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4 : \alpha \in L^\theta\}.$$

It turns out that the convex hull $\mathbb{F}^\theta$ of $\{|z_\alpha\rangle\langle z_\alpha| : \alpha \in L^\theta\}$ is also a face of $\{\Phi\}'$ which coincides with the face $\tau(\text{span}\, P^\theta, \text{span}\,(P^\theta)^\Gamma)$ of $\mathbb{T}$. Both $\text{span}\, P^\theta$ and $\text{span}\,(P^\theta)^\Gamma$ are 5-dimensional. We note that $P^0 = (P^0)^\Gamma$ for $\theta = 0$. The intersection of the spans of P^θ and P^τ for $\theta \neq \tau$ is the 2-dimensional space spanned by $|z_0\rangle$ and $|z_\infty\rangle$, which coincides with the intersection of $\text{span}\,(P^\theta)^\Gamma$ and $\text{span}\,(P^\tau)^\Gamma$.

For given $\tau \neq \theta$, we take four complex numbers $r_k e^{i\theta}$ from L^θ and $s_k e^{i\tau}$ from L^τ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, then the corresponding eight product vectors in $P^\theta \cup P^\tau$ are linearly independent if and only if $r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4 \neq s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$. Therefore, we also see that four complex numbers from L^θ together with five complex numbers from L^τ for $\tau \neq \theta$ give rise to boundary separable states with full ranks. There is one difference from the family $\{\mathbb{F}_r : r > 0\}$ of faces: The intersection of two faces $\mathbb{F}^\theta$ and $\mathbb{F}^\tau$ with different θ and τ is a line segment with the endpoints $|z_0\rangle\langle z_0|$ and $|z_\infty\rangle\langle z_\infty|$.

It is interesting to note that two circles from different families do not give rise to separable states with full ranks. For example, the span of product vectors in $P_1 \cup P^0$ does not span the whole space.

We close this paper with some comments. The map $\Phi[a, b, c, d]$ which we have constructed has a very special property: The range of every rank one projection is singular with one-dimensional kernel. This property tells us that extreme points of the dual face are parametrized by the Riemann sphere. We suspect this property might have close relations with the notions like exposedness, non-extendibility and/or the condition (2) for indecomposable positive maps, especially when the domain is 2×2 matrices. Note that exposed indecomposable positive maps in M_3 considered in [11] do not have this property.

In this paper, we found faces $\mathbb{F}_r$ of $\{\Phi\}'$ whose extreme points are parametrized by circles. There must be bunch of faces between $\mathbb{F}_r$ and $\{\Phi\}'$. It would be very interesting to characterize the whole lattice structures of faces for the convex set $\{\Phi\}'$ which is a maximal face of the whole convex set $\mathbb{S}$. Especially, it is also unclear yet if the interior of the convex hull of $\mathbb{P}_r$ and $\mathbb{P}_s$ with $r \neq s$ lies in the interior or on the boundary of $\{\Phi\}'$.

The lengths of the $3 \otimes 3$ boundary separable states with full ranks constructed in [12] are strictly greater than ranks. On the other hand, we have constructed same kinds of $2 \otimes 4$ separable states whose lengths coincide with ranks. We could not determine the lengths of separable states which were given by convex combinations of nine or ten pure product states.

References

[1] L. Chen and D. Ž. Djoković, *Boundary of the set of separable states,* preprint. arXiv:1404.0738.

[2] S.-J. Cho, S.-H. Kye and S. G. Lee, *Generalized Choi maps in* 3*-dimensional matrix algebras,* Linear Alg. Appl. **171** (1992), 213–224.

[3] M.-D. Choi, *Positive linear maps,* Operator Algebras and Applications (Kingston, 1980), pp. 583–590, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol 38. Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1982.

[4] M.-D. Choi and T.-T. Lam, *Extremal positive semidefinite forms,* Math. Ann. **231** (1977), 1–18.

[5] D. Chruściński and G. Sarbicki, *Exposed positive maps: a sufficient condition,* J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45** (2012), 115304.

[6] D. Chruściński and G. Sarbicki, *Exposed positive maps in* $M_4(\mathbb{C})$*,* Open Sys. Inf. Dyn. **19** (2012), 1250017.

[7] M.-H. Eom and S.-H. Kye, *Duality for positive linear maps in matrix algebras,* Math. Scand. **86** (2000), 130–142.

[8] D. Gale, *Neighborly and cyclic polytopes,* Covexity (Seattle, 1961), pp. 225–232. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol 7, Amer. Math. Soc., 1963.

[9] K.-C. Ha, *Notes on extremality of the Choi map,* Linear Algebra Appl. **439** (2013), 3156–3165.

[10] K.-C. Ha and S.-H. Kye, *Optimality for indecomposable entanglement witnesses* Phys. Rev. A **86** (2012), 034301.

[11] K.-C. Ha and S.-H. Kye, *Exposedness of Choi type entanglement witnesses and applications to lengths of separable states,* Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. **20** (2013), 1350012.

[12] K.-C. Ha and S.-H. Kye, *Separable states with unique decompositions,* Commun. Math. Phys. **328** (2014), 131–153.

[13] K.-C. Ha and S.-H. Kye, *Multi-partite separable states with unique decompositions and construction of three qubit entanglement with positive partial transpose,* preprint. arXiv:1402.5813

[14] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, *Separability of mixed states: necessary and sufficient conditions,* Phys. Lett. A **223** (1996), 1–8.

[15] P. Horodecki, *Separability criterion and inseparable mixed states with positive partial transposition,* Phys. Lett. A **232** (1997), 333–339.

[16] B. Kraus, J. I. Cirac, S. Karnas, M. Lewenstein, *Separability in* 2xN *composite quantum systems,* Phys. Rev. A **61** (2000), 062302.

[17] S.-H. Kye, *Facial structures for various notions of positivity and applications to the theory of entanglement,* Rev. Math. Phys. **25** (2013), 1330002.

[18] S.-H. Kye, *Faces for two qubit separable states and the convex hulls of trigonometric moment curves,* Probab. Math. Statist. **33** (2013), 385-400.

[19] M. Lewenstein, B. Kraus, J. Cirac and P. Horodecki, *Optimization of entanglement witness,* Phys. Rev. A **62** (2000), 052310.

[20] M. Marciniak, *Rank properties of exposed positive maps,* Linear Multilinear Algebra **61** (2013), 970–975.

[21] A. Peres, *Separability Criterion for Density Matrices,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996), 1413–1415.

[22] A. G. Robertson, *Automorphisms of spin factors and the decomposition of positive maps,* Quart. J. Math. Oxford **34** (1983), 87–96.

[23] A. G. Robertson, *Positive projections on* C^**-algebras and extremal positive maps,* J. London Math. Soc. **32** (1985), 133–140.

[24] G. Sarbicki and D. Chruściński, *A class of exposed indecomposable positive maps* J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46** (2013) 015306.

[25] W.-S. Tang, *On positive linear maps between matrix algebras,* Linear Alg. Appl. **79** (1986), 33–44.

[26] B. M. Terhal, *Bell Inequalities and the Separability Criterion,* Phys. Lett. A **271** (2000), 319–326.

[27] S. L. Woronowicz, *Positive maps of low dimensional matrix algebras,* Rep. Math. Phys. **10** (1976), 165–183.
[28] S. L. Woronowicz, *Nonextendible positive maps,* Commun. Math. Phys. **51** (1976), 243–282.
[29] S. L. Woronowicz, *Exposed positive maps,* private communication, July 2011.

Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Sejong University, Seoul 143-747, Korea

Department of Mathematics and Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea